



## AMSTERDAM MASTER OF CHOREOGRAPHY (AMCh)<sup>1</sup>

Artists who have established a professional practice and seek possibilities for further reflection and development can increase their research skills at the Amsterdam Master of Choreography. This two-year non-residential program of the Amsterdam School of the Arts aims to contribute to the field of critical and contemporary dance research and creation. The individual artistic practice is the point of departure and will be the guiding thread of the course design.

### Description

#### OVERALL CONDITIONS OF STUDY

A two-year full time study course for dance artists who are interested in augmenting their existing professional practice through immersion in a rigorous Master level arts research program. The program provides a support structure and organization to facilitate choreographic and interdisciplinary practice-led research; with an emphasis on the processes of personal practice and the exploration and facilitation of research vectors unavailable to the candidate/artists in their current contexts. Therefore, the individual's practice-as-research will stimulate the course content and contribute to the shared experience of exchange during the seminars.

The program accepts three student/artists each year resulting in a full contingent of no more than six to eight students at one time. This curriculum structure includes one (minimally) eight-week **individual residency** in Amsterdam to be undertaken in the first year; six two-week **intensive group seminars** (three-per-year); continuous **mentoring** by both internal (from the Core Staff listed below) and external practitioners; **public platforms** for presentation of both research and artwork.

One of the criteria for participation in the program is an existing professional practice (at least three years), through which the candidate demonstrates his or her capacity to maintain the necessary support structures for their art practice, and to examine and reflect on its various contexts; the multiple connections and relations immanent to the conditions of making. Students are expected to create clear research paths resonant with these existing conditions. Therefore, while attendance and participation in the scheduled residency, all seminars, meetings and platforms is mandatory, full-time residency in Amsterdam is not a requirement.

#### INDIVIDUAL RESIDENCY

The program is able to provide a variety of resources (including dedicated studio space in Amsterdam and a limited budget) for this sustained (minimally) eight-week encounter with the student's making practice. The residency will be designed by the individual student with the support of the Core Staff and may involve collaborators (e.g. performers, dramaturges, designers, etc.). A draft design of the residency will already be a part of the second round of the selection process in order that AMCh program resources can be made explicit in connection to the student's proposed research plans. Note that a first period of 4 weeks needs to be planned in Amsterdam in the first half year.

#### INTENSIVE GROUP SEMINARS

The two-week seminars (three-per-year) are intended as intensive events during which each student will present their research questions, methods and processes for peer review and feedback. Students, Core Staff and invited guest presenters will work together to prepare reading material or other objects of study for each Seminar. Various writing components of the program (as constituted in the Dossier) will be collectively discussed.

The **first seminar**, organized at the start of the academic year, is intended as an orientation comprising several opportunities for each first-year student to introduce their background,



research plans and making practice. Second-year students will present the current state of their research activities with an overview of their trajectories. A guest artist may be invited to kick-off each seminar with an in-depth reflection on their research praxis or an alternative event may be designed. The Core Staff will lead various sessions on topics that unpack the practice of artistic research methods and documentation, issues and debates. Each student will take the lead for half-day sessions, introducing the others to their work and problematize their motivation statements as research questions.

The **second seminar**, organized mid-year, will include a (semi) public interdisciplinary symposium. Speakers and topics will be determined in part by the various research vectors of the students; and they may be from any discipline, domain of information, area of expertise or knowledge. A segment of this seminar will focus on a more practice-based, hands-on approach to research praxis, guided by a guest artist. The writing/reading component of the course is also emphasised during this seminar; close-readings of assigned texts will be threaded through ongoing peer/mentor feedback. Selected papers of the second year students will also be discussed.

The **third seminar**, at the end of the academic year, will serve as the context for a research progress report from all first year students and the final research presentation for those finishing the program. Final presentations will take place in a public venue, engage a selected wider audience and give evidence (through documentation, demonstration, lecture, performance and other means) of the quality, reflexivity, rigor, relationality and integrity of the two-year arts research process. The regular seminar program of text discussion, research activity and writing feedback will be interleaved with the graduating student's presentation agenda.

## MENTORING

A critical component of the program, mentoring supports the large independent study component and is provided by both Core Staff, as mentors of choice with qualifications in the specific research vectors of the students. Responsive to a range of dynamics and relationships, mentoring augments written course materials and guidelines; integrates the results of ongoing quality assurance measures and enhances the contents of the study course.

Mentoring provides the necessary continuity of engagement between the student and the course structure; and site visits (outside of Amsterdam) are possible. (see also Dossier)

- Self evaluations/ progress reports with mentor comments after each semester
- In total each student can allocate 45 hours for mentoring per year; additional hours are paid by the student from student budget
- Mentoring can be translocally implemented on an individual basis via Skype, iChat, email/blog, etc. according to an agreed lower hourly fee.
- Monthly one-hour Skype mentoring sessions per student group with core staff are mandatory.

## PUBLIC PLATFORMS

For the final research presentation during the third seminar in the second year, it is the aim of the program to facilitate a single public presentation of the students' performance work in local professional contexts, such as Frascati WG, Amsterdam.

## DOSSIER/ DOCUMENTATION/ DISSEMINATION

The **Dossier** is a cumulative project that supports and explores writing across a range of registers including: enhancing communication, expanding observation and critical faculties and expository and creative writing based on reference research and extant literature. Questions arising from the relationship between writing, reading practices and research materials will be



explored throughout the course. The final Dossier will include the four Half-Year Reports, and documentation of research activity. Two papers on an assigned topic will be required.

**Documentation** refers to the specific methods and approaches used to trace something of the contours (physiological, phenomenological, psychic, nomadic, critical, emotional, theoretical, diagrammatic, etc.) of the practice-led research. What questions does one circle around and return to through this process? What means and modes of reflection are made possible through documentation and how can its dissemination further the public's engagement with the research?

Although AMCh is a practice-led research program and as such takes the position that it does not focus primarily on theoretical practices per se (as would an academic Dance Studies degree course); the ability to communicate aspects of the process of personal practice through writing and various forms documentation will be a prerequisite for satisfying the terms of the study.

Half-Year Reports (4): these documents, due in the spring and end summer of each year are focal to the assessment (self-assessment, mentor feedback and institutional assessment) of the programme. They contain a chronological synopsis of activity, notes from the seminars and residency, documentation of experiments, methods, projects, productions, reading/writing, etc., undertaken during the period.

Papers (2): Two papers of word length 3000+ and 5000+.

Documentation: Additional audio-visual, textual, pictorial, etc., material of the research process, residency period and production results (if any).

### POINT SYSTEM/EVALUATION FOR CREDIT

#### Program Year 1

3 seminars of 2 wks Sep/Jan/June	15 ects
Residency 8 wks	15
Individual track / mentoring	13
Writing practice	7
Choreographic productions	10
Total	60 ects

#### Program Year 2

3 seminars of 2 wks Sep/Jan/June	15 ects
Final presentation	10
Individual track / mentoring	17
Writing practice	7
Choreographic productions	10
Total	60 ects

### EVALUATION

Research plans for the selection interview will lay the ground for the generation of appropriate individualized **evaluation criteria** for the candidate/artist. Upon selection, these will be further contextualized in and around the research plan, aims and objectives and the emerging documented results of the research process of the individual student.



Evaluations are written together by candidate/artist, mentor and external mentor at the end of every semester. Within the formal sanctioning parameters of this process, an evaluation incorporates a qualitative assessment accompanied by crediting. The credits provide a basis for confirming the student is passed to go onto the next study year (or to repeat the study year) and ultimately confirming the conferral, or not, of the final diploma.

#### Types of Evaluation:

1. **Half Year Reports:** Continuous Evaluation of each student takes place through meetings with mentor(s) and results in Half Year Reports written at the end of each semester. **Mentor reports** are integrated within this document and are indicative of the student's development and progress based on the individual student's own evaluation criteria. The Half Year Report is written by the student with commentary added by internal mentor(s) and the external mentor in relation to the larger aims of the programme.
2. **Dossier:** Research Practice evaluation is based on documentation of Individual Study through writing requirements (the dossier). The artistic merit of the final presentation in choreographic practice is **not assessed**, but is made accountable through documentation of professional reviews and/or the feedback from external experts in the field. The articulation of the research process and its documentation is assessed according to each individual student's authorized evaluation criteria. At the conclusion of the practice-based research activities, the mentor(s) and coordinator review the documentation of these activities producing a written evaluation in the second year.

#### Assignment of Credits<sup>1</sup>:

1. The evaluations of the research process, writing requirements (the dossier) and presentations result in the assignment of credits. In the case of the non-graded writings and presentations, the evidence of the completed work is sufficient for credit assignment. For the essays and presentations, the process engages core staff members and a committee comprising a mentor, two other course related reviewers and one external reviewer. If a passing mark is achieved, credit is assigned. At the end of the year the internal mentor(s) and the AMCh coordinator meet with the participant to evaluate the research progress. The credits are accordingly credited per term.
2. During the research process and practice-based activities, students produce documentation including reports of meetings with external mentors, which is reviewed by the mentor and coordinator; if sufficient evidence of activities is shown, credit is assigned.

#### Evaluation Criteria/ Process:

A committee comprising two staff teachers of AMCh and two external assessors evaluate the research presentations. The evaluation consists of an initial presentation in a public context (stage, screen, etc.) followed by an oral presentation prepared and delivered by the student concerning the research project, giving evidence of completed work correlated to the assessment criteria.

On the following day, the committee engages the student in a one-hour discussion, facilitated by the committee chairperson. Topics such as those bulleted below are discussed and defended by the student:

- the student's *raison d'être* for artistic research
- the quality and integrity of research strategies with respect to current practice
- methods of problematising
- decision processes
- production constraints

---

<sup>1</sup> see AMCh Study Guide 2004-2006, p. 18-19 (overview of credits)



The writing assignments are pass/fail. The study progress, to which academic writing guidelines are applied, are evaluated according to the set of criteria established in the research plan, by at least two Core Staff teachers.

Final presentations at the end of the second year will take place in a public venue, engage a selected wider audience and give evidence (through documentation, demonstration, lecture, performance and other means) of the quality, reflexivity, rigor, relationality and integrity of the two-year arts research process.

#### Green light procedure

During the first seminar of the second year the green light procedure will determine if the research praxis of the first year has shown sufficient rigor and development to realistically sustain a requisite momentum towards the completion of studies in June, meeting the graduation criteria of the program. In individual sessions with program staff an inventory will be made of progress and experiences of the first year as well as planning for the second year. The student will supply necessary documentation of research practice and projects. In case progress has not been sufficient, it can be decided that an extension of the studies will be necessary, by half or an entire year.

#### Final qualifications

A graduate of the Amsterdam Master of Choreography has:

- 1) developed rigorous and insightful artistic research propositions that clearly demonstrate an understanding of the complexities and layered nature of artistic practice.
- 2) developed the facility to articulate and problematise the functions of specific and idiosyncratic research methodologies within artistic practice.
- 3) extended their ability to reflect upon and communicate the *processual* practice of creative and compositional artmaking, through the use of written and audio/visual documentation, collaboration, conceptualization, and feedback (from peers, mentors, collaborators and audience).
- 4) strengthened their capacity to contribute to the field of dance and the performing arts, showing a keen awareness of topical developments in contemporary arts discourse with an aptitude for communicating the relevance of their practice within this larger sphere.

#### Award of Diploma:

A final graduation talk takes place when all credits have been received and all obligations have been met. Here, the development of the student during the study course, the level achieved (in relation to the Final Qualifications) and future plans and expectations are discussed with the mentor(s) and the coordinator. At the end of the final graduation talk, the student receives the diploma.

#### BUDGET

Each candidate/artist is entitled to a personal budget that can be used to take care of the expenses of additional advisors, dramaturges, collaborators, technicians. For more detail about practical matters see the appendix. The exact amount of the budget will be determined every year in spring.



## CORE STAFF

**Sher Doruff** additionally teaches in the Masters of Artistic Research programmes at the University of Amsterdam and the Royal Academy of Art in the Hague. She is a visiting professor supervising practice-based PhD students at University College Dublin/SMARTlab and is a senior researcher at the Rietveld Academy of Art and Design.

Her doctoral research explored the role of collaborative creative processes in networked performance practice. She is currently investigating graphical forms of theoretical writing. She has published numerous papers, edited a book on Live Art, regularly lectures and presents in academic and artistic contexts.

**Jeroen Fabius** (AMCh Director of Program) was head of department of the School for New Dance Development from 2000-2002, and has been teaching Dance History and Anthropology since 1991. He is currently Research Fellow with the Art Theory and Research and Art Practice and Development Research Group, Amsterdam School for the Arts and is doing his PhD with the University of Utrecht (working title: "Material political bodies. The role of proprioception and kinesthetics in political subjectivity"). He has been a member of the Dance committee for the Fonds voor Amateur en Podiumkunsten between 2004 and 2008.

**Myriam Van Imschoot** operates at the intersection point of writing, performance and visual art. Originally a dance historian and essay writer, she has become a regular collaborator of Meg Stuart, Benoît Lachambre, Vera Mantero, Philipp Gehmacher. She has performed in the work of Antonia Baehr, Dianne Weller, Meg Stuart and co-directed the piece Living and The Search Project by the theater collective Tristero. Since 2008 she employs her own archive as vector for research and the creation of a series of works on auditive memory, orality, and voice: Pick up Voices (i.c.w. Christine De Smedt, 2008), Fax Film (2008), the sound installation Can I be your witness (with. Kristien Van den Brande en Ayméric de Tapol, 2009) and recently her first solo Living Archive (Performatik Festival in Kaaitheater, maart 2011). She is the founder of Sarma ([www.sarma.be](http://www.sarma.be)), a workplace for dance, performance, writing, dramaturgy, artistic research. In 2011 she develops with Constant and Sarma new software modules that enhance the publication of oral documents on the internet. Her work has been supported by grants and fellowships of the Flemish Community Commission and the Jan van Eyck Academie. It has been presented in Kaaitheater, Jan van Eyck Academie, Kran Film Collective, Working Title Festival, Playground festival in Stuk and Vooruit.

**Bettina Masuch** worked between 1998 – 2003 as Dramaturge at the Volksbühne am Rosa-Luxemburg-Platz, Berlin and as dramaturge for Meg Stuart at the Schauspielhaus in Zurich ("Alibi", 2002, "Visitors Only", 2003). Between 2003 – 2008 she was Curator for dance at the Hebbel am Ufer, Berlin: Artistic director of the dance festival „CONTEXT“ Member of the artistic direction panel of „Tanz im August“, Berlin. Since 2008 she is Artistic Director of Springdance Festival in Utrecht

**Susan Rethorst** has been creating dances since 1975. Her work has been presented by many New York venues including The Museum of Modern Art; The Kitchen Center, Dance Theater Workshop, Danspace Saint Marks, as well as at various dance theaters, universities, and festivals throughout the U.S. Internationally her work has been produced by The Holland Festival, Spazio Zero Rome, The Kunsthalle Basel, The Aix-en-Provence Festival, among others. In 1999, she was the recipient of a fellowship from the John Simon Guggenheim Memorial Foundation. She has recently co-initiated SUPA (studio Upson in Pennsylvania), a program dedicated to choreography: how it is thought of and taught, and what of its knowledge is applicable to other fields. Her current work is a series of 'no-money-no-space dances' and addresses issues of displacement and security in relation to space.



<sup>1</sup> Formerly Dance Unlimited Amsterdam. Accredited by the NVAO (Accreditation Organisation of the Netherlands and Flanders), the official diploma title is "Master in Dance" as listed in the CROHO (Central Register of Higher Education Programs) with the special orientation of "choreography". A total of 120 ECTS (European Credit Transfer and Accumulation System) will be earned during the two-year study.